

New Raman Spectroscopic Evidence and Reassignment of the Spectra of Dimanganese and Dirhenium Decacarbonyls

By D. M. ADAMS,* M. A. HOOPER, and A. SQUIRE

(Department of Chemistry, University of Leicester, Leicester LE1 7RH)

Summary New Raman spectroscopic evidence for solutions and oriented single crystals is presented from which a reassignment is made for the $\nu(\text{CO})$ frequencies of the title compounds.

MANY differing assignments for the $\nu(\text{CO})$ vibrations of $\text{Mn}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$ and $\text{Re}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$ have been suggested, although the frequencies of all the predicted modes have not yet been determined *experimentally*. Previous assignments for $\text{Mn}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$ have been summarised by Bor.¹

Table 1 shows the distribution of modes for the molecular

TABLE 1
Correlation table for $\text{M}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$

D_{4d}	C_2 (site)	C_{2h} (2 molecules)
$2a_1$	$2a$	$2A_g + 2A_u$
e_2	$a + b$	$A_g + B_g + A_u + B_u$
e_3	$a + b$	$A_g + B_g + A_u + B_u$
$2b_2$	$2b$	$2B_g + 2B_u$
e_1	$a + b$	$A_g + B_g + A_u + B_u$

point symmetry and the factor group symmetry; Table 2 shows the results and assignments for both compounds in solution; Table 3 shows the Raman spectroscopic results for an oriented single crystal of $\text{Re}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$.

We have established the positions of the four expected

Raman-active fundamentals for $\text{Mn}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$ in solution. The band at 2116 cm^{-1} is clearly polarised (a_1), the other

TABLE 2

Raman lines (cm^{-1}) observed for petrol solutions of $\text{M}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$

M=Mn	M=Re	Assignment
2116	2128	ν_1, a_1
2024	2029	ν_{25}, e_2
1997	1993	ν_2, a_1
1981	(1980) ^a	ν_{31}, e_3

^a Estimated; see text.

three having depolarisation ratios 0.7—0.75. Measurements on oriented single crystals of $\text{Mn}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$ enabled us to classify all of the Raman lines as A_g or B_g under factor group symmetry C_{2h} . These results can be correlated to molecular (D_{4d}) symmetry, allowing unequivocal assignments. The intensity of the 1990 cm^{-1} line shows different dependence upon orientation from the other A_g lines but it always moves in conjunction with the 160 cm^{-1} A_g line (due to Mn–Mn stretching): we therefore assign it to the axial carbonyl stretch, present in solution at 1997 cm^{-1} .

For $\text{Re}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$ the single-crystal results can be explained on the assumption that the a_1 (axial) and e_3 modes are almost coincident in solution. The A_g (axial) mode interacts with the A_g component of the e_3 mode, leading to the

observed splitting pattern which differs from that of $\text{Mn}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$.

TABLE 3

Correlation of the $\nu(\text{CO})$ frequencies of $\text{Re}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$

I.r. (solution)	D_{4d} Raman (solution)	C_{2h} Raman (crystal)
2074, b_2	2128, a_1	2126, A_g 2074, B_g
	2029, e_2	{ 2025, A_g 2017, B_g
2013, e_1	1993, a_1	1977 ^a , A_g 1990 ^a , A_g
	(1980), e_3	{ 1972, B_g
1974, b_2		

^a Almost certainly in resonance.

In the lower frequency region (10—700 cm^{-1}) we have observed all five predicted modes of a_1 species for $\text{Re}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$.

and all but one for $\text{Mn}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$. The band at 394 cm^{-1} of $\text{Re}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$, previously reported as polarised in solution,^{2,3} is definitely depolarised and gives rise to two components 390 (B_g) and 394 (A_g) in the solid state; both observations require the 394 cm^{-1} band to be of e -symmetry. We find many more bands below 150 cm^{-1} , for both compounds, than reported by Quicksall and Spiro.⁴ The full assignment will be published elsewhere.⁵

The presence of only one clearly polarised band in the $\nu(\text{CO})$ region appears to be a general property of the $\text{M}(\text{CO})_5$ unit. For $\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5\text{Br}$ we find only one polarised band in solution (at 2139 cm^{-1}). The lowest-frequency $\nu(\text{CO})$ band, at 2007 cm^{-1} , has a high depolarisation ratio and cannot be identified as definitely polarised. This is contrary to the report of Hyams and Lippincott⁶ for $\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5\text{Br}$, but consistent with that of Cotton *et al.*⁷ for $\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5\text{CF}_3$.

We thank the S.R.C. for generous support.

(Received, June 2nd, 1970; Com. 852.)

¹ G. Bor, *Chem. Comm.*, 1969, 441.

² J. Lewis, A. R. Manning, J. R. Miller, M. J. Ware, and F. Nyman, *Nature*, 1965, 207, 142.

³ I. J. Hyams, D. Jones, and E. R. Lippincott, *J. Chem. Soc. (A)*, 1967, 1887.

⁴ C. O. Quicksall and T. G. Spiro, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1969, 8, 2363.

⁵ D. M. Adams, M. A. Hooper, and A. Squire, *J. Chem. Soc. (A)*, in the press; D. M. Adams and M. A. Hooper, to be published.

⁶ I. J. Hyams and E. R. Lippincott, *Spectrochim. Acta.*, 1969, 25, A, 1845.

⁷ F. A. Cotton, A. Musco, and G. Yagupsky, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1967, 6, 1357.